



Tiffany Lewis <rigel.energyandrenewables@gmail.com>

Second Formal Demand for Reunification of My Children

20 messages

Tiffany Lewis <rigel.energyandrenewables@gmail.com>

Fri, Mar 28, 2025 at 11:08 AM

To: Tylea Pressley -DHS- Prince Georges County <Tylea.Pressley@maryland.gov>

Dear Ms. Pressley

I am writing this letter as a second formal demand for the immediate return of my children to my care. Despite full compliance with all requests made by Child Protective Services (CPS), including medical follow-ups and documentation, my children remain unjustly separated from me without clear legal or procedural justification. I am requesting an immediate response outlining a reunification plan or, in the absence of reunification, a written statement explaining the specific grounds and legal basis for continued separation.

1. Full Compliance with CPS Medical Directive

Per CPS instruction, I scheduled a follow-up psychiatric appointment with the provider referred by the hospital. The original appointment was set for March 25, 2025. However, the provider unilaterally rescheduled it to March 28, 2025 due to a personal office event. While this raised concerns about the provider's priorities, I cooperated fully in good faith to meet CPS's expectations.

As of today, March 28, 2025, the rescheduled appointment was set for 12:30 PM. During my last communication with the provider's office, I was asked to call back with my updated insurance information. I promptly followed up and spoke with the receptionist, who informed me that she needed to speak with the doctor to confirm whether the appointment could proceed. Despite this, I have yet to receive any confirmation or follow-up from the provider. Once again, this delay is not due to any failure on my part but due to the provider's lack of responsiveness and professionalism.

During our earlier communication, I shared the emotional weight of my situation, including the trauma of being separated from my children. Rather than addressing these concerns, the provider's focus remained logistical and dismissive. Nevertheless, I waited for the necessary paperwork she promised. After multiple follow-ups and delays on her part, I completed and submitted the required documents. Her subsequent remarks during a call, particularly when I disclosed plans to relocate to Texas, included the statement: "This is a lot of work for one appointment." This cast further doubt on her objectivity and intent to provide a fair evaluation. Her conduct underscores one of my original concerns: the risks of misdiagnosis and the financial incentive structure within parts of the medical field that lead to the over-pathologizing of healthy individuals.

Furthermore, the fact that this provider was referred to me by the hospital raises additional concerns. If the hospital was genuinely concerned about the well-being of its patients, it would ensure that the professionals it refers patients to are not only available and competent, but also compassionate and professional in their evaluations. Referring a patient in crisis to a provider who prioritizes office events over urgent care responsibilities demonstrates a serious lapse in due diligence. This negligence reflects poorly not only on the provider but also on the hospital's referral practices.

2. My Parenting Record and Medical History

I have a documented and consistent history of being a responsible, attentive, and capable parent. I have never been investigated or charged for child neglect, abuse, or any conduct that would justify the removal of my children.

My oldest daughter, going on 18 "in two months", has an extensive medical history. For over 11 years, she was under continuous medical care, including hospitalization and follow-up treatment involving various physicians and specialists. During that time, my capacity to parent was never once brought into question by any medical professional or state agency. I managed her daily medical needs, coordinated supplies, attended all appointments, and ensured her care both at home and in the hospital. I also personally maintained her tracheostomy and other complex care responsibilities, often with limited or no nursing support.

My youngest daughter, though not enrolled in school, is intellectually and emotionally advanced. I have chosen a holistic, home-based approach that supports her critical thinking, independence, and real-world problem-solving skills. Her well-being has never been compromised.

In addition, I have undergone over ten plastic surgeries due to major weight loss, of which at least six required psychological clearance. I successfully passed all psychological evaluations necessary to proceed with each of those surgeries. This further demonstrates my psychological stability and the professional medical consensus that I am mentally sound.

3. Legal and Ethical Basis for Reunification

Under Maryland law, unless there is documented evidence that a child is in immediate danger or has suffered neglect or abuse, the state does not have legal authority to permanently withhold children from their parent.

As of today, no such evidence has been provided to me. I have complied with every condition outlined, including medical review, school planning, and communication with CPS. If CPS intends to continue withholding my children, I am requesting a written explanation of:

- The specific legal statute being invoked to justify continued separation;
- The current legal status of my case;
- A timeline for reunification; and
- The name and credentials of the medical or psychological professional who is asserting that I am unfit.

If CPS cannot produce this information, I am demanding the immediate return of my children. The lack of transparency, inconsistent follow-through from providers, and continued disruption to my children's emotional well-being constitute a violation of our family rights.

4. Final Demand

This letter is being sent with the expectation of a written response within five (5) business days. Failure to respond appropriately will result in my seeking legal counsel to initiate action through the Maryland family courts to restore custody and hold all responsible parties accountable.

Respectfully,

Tiffany Lewis

Tylea Pressley -DHS- Prince Georges County <tylea.pressley@maryland.gov>

Tue, Apr 1, 2025 at 9:31 AM

To: Tiffany Lewis <rigel.energyandrenewables@gmail.com>, Mia Dabney -DHS- Prince Georges County <mia.dabney1@maryland.gov>

Good Morning Ms. Lewis,

Thank you for your email. While you have completed the home school process the Department is awaiting your engagement with mental health services and medication management. As discussed in the FTDM meeting that was held on March 18th we discussed the Department wanting to see that you are actively engaging in mental health services to ensure that the recommendations from your hospitalization are being met. Once we have received documentation of this we can explore the next steps. If you can please respond to all when responding to this email I would appreciate it.

Thank you,

Tylea Pressley, LMSW
Child Protective Services Investigator
Prince George's County Department of Social Services
[925 Brightseat Road](#)
[Landover, MD 20785](#)
Cell-(301) 385-6687
Fax-(301) 909-2200

[Quoted text hidden]

~~~~~  
Click [here](#) to complete a short customer satisfaction survey.  
~~~~~

ATTENTION: This e-mail (including any attachment) may contain proprietary, legally privileged and/or confidential information. This e-mail is intended solely for the use of the person(s) to which it is addressed. If you are not an intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivery of this e-mail to the intended recipient(s), you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this e-mail is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please immediately notify the sender and permanently delete this e-mail and any copies.

Tiffany Lewis <rigel.energyandrenewables@gmail.com>

Tue, Apr 1, 2025 at 10:01 AM

To: Tylea Pressley -DHS- Prince Georges County <tylea.pressley@maryland.gov>

Cc: Mia Dabney -DHS- Prince Georges County <mia.dabney1@maryland.gov>

Dear Ms. Pressley,

Thank you for your response.

While I understand that the Department is awaiting documentation regarding my engagement with mental health services, I must reiterate unequivocally that I have complied with all directives provided by CPS. The delay in documentation is not a reflection of noncompliance, but rather a result of unprofessional conduct and administrative failures by the referred provider.

As stated in my prior communication, I promptly scheduled a follow-up psychiatric appointment in accordance with the Department's recommendation. The original date was March 25, 2025; however, the provider rescheduled to March 28 for internal office reasons. I complied fully, provided the requested insurance information, and followed up repeatedly with the provider's office. I have yet to receive confirmation of the appointment or any formal documentation solely due to the provider's lack of follow-through.

The Department cannot continue to penalize me for the deficiencies of a third-party provider it recommended. This ongoing separation of my children from my care, without a court order, and in the absence of any evidence of abuse or neglect, is not only unjust but unsupported by Maryland law.

Under Maryland Family Law § 5-525 and related child welfare statutes, the state may not withhold children from a parent absent a court finding of unfitness, abuse, neglect, or imminent risk of harm. No such findings exist in my case. There is no legal basis for continued separation, particularly in light of my full cooperation, documented parental competence, and the lack of any formal adjudication against me.

Accordingly, I am formally demanding the following:

1. A clear and written definition of what constitutes "active engagement" in mental health services, including whether a single appointment fulfills this requirement.
2. Immediate approval to seek evaluation and documentation from a qualified provider of my choosing, given the delays and bias experienced with the referred provider.
3. A written reunification plan with specific steps and a timeline, as required under Maryland's permanency planning obligations.
4. The specific Maryland statute and current legal status justifying the continued removal of my children from my custody.

Absent this information, and in the continued absence of legal justification, I will move forward with filing in Maryland family court to seek immediate reunification and to challenge the Department's continued obstruction of my parental rights.

I am acting in good faith and expect the Department to do the same. Any further delay or lack of transparency will be documented and presented in court.

Sincerely,

Tiffany Lewis

[Quoted text hidden]

Tylea Pressley -DHS- Prince Georges County <tylea.pressley@maryland.gov>

Tue, Apr 1, 2025 at 10:51 AM

To: Tiffany Lewis <rigel.energyandrenewables@gmail.com>

Cc: Mia Dabney -DHS- Prince Georges County <mia.dabney1@maryland.gov>

Ms. Lewis,

If there are issues with the provider I would recommend that you reach out to your insurance company to have them assist with locating a provider for you. If you have state insurance the Department can assist with the referral process. Active engagement meaning that you are participating in multiple sessions in which we can receive documentation from the provider that you are complying with your medication and treatment (therapy). Unfortunately, if the Department is unable to receive this information we would have to move forward with court involvement.

Tylea Pressley, LMSW
Child Protective Services Investigator
Prince George's County Department of Social Services
[925 Brightseat Road](#)
[Landover, MD 20785](#)
Cell-(301) 385-6687
Fax-(301) 909-2200

[Quoted text hidden]

Tiffany Lewis <rigel.energyandrenewables@gmail.com>
To: Tylea Pressley -DHS- Prince Georges County <tylea.pressley@maryland.gov>
Cc: Mia Dabney -DHS- Prince Georges County <mia.dabney1@maryland.gov>

Tue, Apr 1, 2025 at 11:11 AM

Subject: Formal Notice of Objection and Request to Proceed with Court Review

Dear Ms. Pressley,

Thank you for your recent response. I am writing to formally express my objection to the Department's continued and increasingly unreasonable demands, as well as to notify you of my intent to pursue resolution through the Maryland family court system.

Your recent communication implies that the Department requires not only that I engage in mental health services but also that I comply with medication management *prior* to a formal evaluation by a qualified provider. That is not only medically inappropriate—it is unlawful. Requiring the use of psychiatric medication as a precondition for reunification, especially without a current clinical diagnosis or judicial order, is a serious overreach. The Department is not authorized to impose medical treatment absent a court ruling or a professional, independent evaluation deeming such treatment necessary for safety.

I am 37 years old with no criminal history, no history of child neglect or abuse. This entire matter arose from a single instance following a significant dietary change, which even the hospital acknowledged could have contributed to the symptoms observed. Since then, I have acted in full cooperation with all requests from CPS, including scheduling follow-up psychiatric care and submitting requested documentation.

As I have already explained, the delay in receiving documentation is due entirely to the lack of responsiveness from the provider to whom *I was referred*. It is unjust to penalize me for the provider's failure to follow through. Your suggestion that I now start over with a different provider—while continuing to use the delay against me—demonstrates a lack of procedural fairness and an absence of trauma-informed practice.

Your definition of "active engagement" appears to be both arbitrary and punitive. There is no legal requirement that I submit to multiple therapy sessions or psychotropic medication without clinical necessity or informed consent, especially in the absence of any finding of abuse, neglect, or unfitness.

Given the Department's insistence on conditions that overstep its authority and appear discriminatory and medically coercive, I am left with no option but to pursue court proceedings. I believe that only through the courts can a fair, neutral evaluation be conducted, and an enforceable plan for reunification established based on actual facts and legal standards—not subjective criteria or unsupported demands.

Additionally, I am formally requesting that this case be escalated within the Department for internal review and oversight. The current approach lacks transparency, compassion, and adherence to both ethical and legal standards, and I believe it warrants immediate supervisory attention.

To that end, I respectfully request the following:

1. A final written statement outlining the Department's position and conditions for reunification;
2. The name and credentials of any individual who has made or recommended the decision to require medication or multiple therapy sessions without evaluation;
3. Confirmation that this matter will now proceed to court, as per your indication;
4. The name and contact information of the appropriate party to whom this matter is being escalated internally.

I am prepared to present my full compliance record, medical history, and legal arguments before a judge. While I had hoped to resolve this matter collaboratively, I cannot allow my rights—or my children's well-being—to be compromised by administrative overreach and subjective speculation.

Please consider this my formal request that all future communications regarding the status of my children, reunification, or service compliance be documented and prepared for judicial review.

Respectfully,

Tiffany Lewis

[Quoted text hidden]

Tiffany Lewis <rigel.energyandrenewables@gmail.com>
To: gaddycatrina@gmail.com

Tue, Apr 1, 2025 at 12:01 PM

[Quoted text hidden]

Catrina Gaddy <gaddycatrina@gmail.com>
To: Tiffany Lewis <rigel.energyandrenewables@gmail.com>

Tue, Apr 1, 2025 at 4:26 PM

I had an extensive dental visit today. I'll call you tomorrow.

[Quoted text hidden]

Tiffany Lewis <rigel.energyandrenewables@gmail.com>
To: Catrina Gaddy <gaddycatrina@gmail.com>

Tue, Apr 1, 2025 at 4:33 PM

Ok just wanted to keep you in the loop where things are.

[Quoted text hidden]

Tylea Pressley -DHS- Prince Georges County <tylea.pressley@maryland.gov>
To: Tiffany Lewis <rigel.energyandrenewables@gmail.com>
Cc: Mia Dabney -DHS- Prince Georges County <mia.dabney1@maryland.gov>

Thu, Apr 3, 2025 at 11:10 AM

Good Morning Ms. Lewis,

I wanted to check in to see if there are any updates regarding the provider?. Were you able to locate another provider?. The Department is providing you with two additional weeks to address these concerns. Unfortunately, if the Department is unable to see that progress has been made court involvement would have to take place.

Thank you,

Tylea Pressley, LMSW
Child Protective Services Investigator
Prince George's County Department of Social Services
[925 Brightseat Road](#)
[Landover, MD 20785](#)
Cell-(301) 385-6687
Fax-(301) 909-2200

[Quoted text hidden]

Tiffany Lewis <rigel.energyandrenewables@gmail.com>

Thu, Apr 3, 2025 at 11:37 AM

To: Tylea Pressley -DHS- Prince Georges County <tylea.pressley@maryland.gov>

Cc: Mia Dabney -DHS- Prince Georges County <mia.dabney1@maryland.gov>

Dear Ms. Pressley,

I am in receipt of your most recent correspondence, and I must now formally acknowledge what is clearly a pattern of obstruction, procedural abuse, and a gross deviation from lawful and ethical standards. Your refusal to engage with the legal and factual substance of my prior email, while continuing to issue vague, coercive ultimatums, only reinforces the need for immediate legal intervention.

To be absolutely clear: the Department's ongoing attempt to enforce treatment compliance—including psychiatric medication—*prior to evaluation* and in the absence of any clinical diagnosis or judicial order, is not only medically unethical but legally indefensible. The Department does not have the authority to impose or enforce medical treatment absent due process, a professional medical determination, or a court's directive.

Your actions now rise to the level of violating my constitutional rights under the **Fourteenth Amendment**, which guarantees due process and parental liberty. Moreover, under **Maryland Code, Family Law § 5-525**, the Department is required to make reasonable efforts toward reunification. To date, you have failed to meet this standard, instead placing arbitrary and shifting demands that move further from reunification with each interaction.

In addition, I previously made a direct and formal request that this case be escalated internally within the Department and moved to court proceedings, given the evident breakdown in administrative process. The Department's failure to acknowledge or act upon that request constitutes further negligence and a dereliction of your duty to respond to the legal rights and procedural entitlements of the parent. Under **Maryland Code, State Government § 10-611 et seq.** and applicable CPS guidelines, a request for administrative escalation or judicial resolution must be acknowledged and acted upon in a timely and transparent manner. Your omission not only demonstrates a lack of good faith but further erodes the legitimacy of your oversight in this matter.

You are now on formal notice that your continued refusal to acknowledge these violations, and your failure to provide a clear and lawful justification for keeping my children separated from me, constitutes an obstruction of justice.

Accordingly, I am renewing my formal demand:

1. Immediate reunification of my children, or in the alternative, immediate initiation of court proceedings as you have repeatedly threatened but failed to follow through on;
2. A written response within five (5) business days addressing each legal point previously raised;
3. Confirmation that this case has been escalated to a Department supervisor or regional legal counsel for review, as I previously requested.

If the Department continues to ignore these demands and proceeds with unjustified delays or threats in the absence of lawful authority, I will move forward with legal action. This includes seeking:

- A court order restoring custody,
- Sanctions for bad faith conduct,
- Punitive damages for the violation of my constitutional and civil rights under both Maryland law and federal statutes including **42 U.S.C. § 1983**.

This communication and all future responses will be preserved as part of the evidentiary record in the event of court proceedings.

Let me be clear: your continued obstruction and disregard for lawful process is not only unconscionable, it is actionable.

Respectfully,

Tiffany Lewis

[Quoted text hidden]

Tiffany Lewis <rigel.energyandrenewables@gmail.com>
To: Catrina Gaddy <gaddycatrina@gmail.com>

Thu, Apr 3, 2025 at 11:41 AM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Tiffany Lewis** <rigel.energyandrenewables@gmail.com>
Date: Thu, Apr 3, 2025 at 11:37 AM
Subject: Re: Second Formal Demand for Reunification of My Children
To: Tylea Pressley -DHS- Prince Georges County <tylea.pressley@maryland.gov>
CC: Mia Dabney -DHS- Prince Georges County <mia.dabney1@maryland.gov>

[Quoted text hidden]

Mia Dabney -DHS- Prince Georges County <mia.dabney1@maryland.gov>
To: Tiffany Lewis <rigel.energyandrenewables@gmail.com>
Cc: Tylea Pressley -DHS- Prince Georges County <tylea.pressley@maryland.gov>

Thu, Apr 3, 2025 at 11:44 AM

Hi Ms. Lewis,

Thank you for your response. If you would like to move forward with court involvement, instead of allocating two additional weeks to engage in behavioral services that the Department is recommending, please let us know at your earliest convenience.

[Quoted text hidden]

--



Mia Dabney, LCSW-C, LICSW

Social Work Supervisor

Prince George's County Department of Social
Services

805 Brightseat Road Landover, MD 20785

Mia.Dabney1@maryland.gov

(301) 909-2274 (office)

(301) 909-2200 (fax)

To report suspected abuse or neglect, please call

301-909-2450

ATTENTION: This e-mail (including any attachment) may contain proprietary, legally privileged and/or confidential information. This e-mail is intended solely for the use of the person(s) to which it is addressed. If you are not an intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivery of this e-mail to the intended recipient(s), you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this e-mail is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please immediately notify the sender and permanently delete this e-mail and any copies

[Quoted text hidden]

Tiffany Lewis <rigel.energyandrenewables@gmail.com>
To: Mia Dabney -DHS- Prince Georges County <mia.dabney1@maryland.gov>
Cc: Tylea Pressley -DHS- Prince Georges County <tylea.pressley@maryland.gov>

Thu, Apr 3, 2025 at 12:12 PM

Ms. Dabney

Thank you for your response.

Your most recent communication continues to reflect a troubling pattern of avoidance, misdirection, and procedural manipulation that raises serious legal and ethical concerns. Rather than addressing the substance of the Department's overreach and its failure to operate within lawful bounds, your response attempts to shift the burden onto me in a way that is both dismissive and misleading.

Let me be clear: this situation has reached a point where administrative cooperation has been exhausted not due to any lack of effort on my part, but because of the Department's repeated failures to act lawfully and in good faith. It is the Department—not me—that:

1. **Failed to honor a prior request to escalate this matter to court or internal review**, despite the fact that such requests fall under Maryland's required administrative response procedures (see **Maryland State Government § 10-611 et seq.**);
2. **Attempted to impose psychiatric treatment requirements absent a clinical diagnosis or judicial order**, in violation of both ethical medical practice and my constitutional rights under the **Fourteenth Amendment**;
3. **Refused to acknowledge or correct the delays caused by the Department's own referred provider**, then proceeded to use those delays against me, rather than assisting in a timely and professional manner;
4. **Shifted standards arbitrarily** by redefining "engagement" based on subjective and escalating criteria that appear designed to prolong separation, not facilitate reunification.

It is now evident that the Department is not acting in accordance with **Maryland Code, Family Law § 5-525**, which mandates reasonable efforts toward reunification. What is more concerning is the appearance that continued delays are not simply administrative, but intentional—potentially to obscure past misconduct or prevent judicial scrutiny.

Therefore, to remove any further ambiguity:

I am formally requesting that this matter proceed directly to court. The Department's continued attempts to delay, manipulate, or coerce through administrative posturing are no longer acceptable. This process must now be placed under judicial oversight, where transparency and legal standards will govern—not subjective interpretations or shifting expectations.

The Department still has the opportunity to correct course and present a lawful and procedurally sound path forward, should it choose to do so without further delay or coercion.

Furthermore, due to the emotional trauma, stress, and ongoing disruption inflicted on both myself and my children by the Department's unjust actions, I am reserving all rights to pursue **punitive damages** in addition to any injunctive or compensatory relief. The toll this process has taken on our family has been severe, and the Department must be held fully accountable if this matter proceeds further into litigation.

If the Department fails to comply with this request or engages in further delay, I will seek a court-ordered return of custody, sanctions, and full legal remedies under **42 U.S.C. § 1983** for civil rights violations.

This response and all prior communications will form part of the evidentiary record moving forward.

Respectfully,

Tiffany Lewis

[Quoted text hidden]

Tiffany Lewis <rigel.energyandrenewables@gmail.com>

To: Catrina Gaddy <gaddycatrina@gmail.com>

Thu, Apr 3, 2025 at 12:13 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Tiffany Lewis** <rigel.energyandrenewables@gmail.com>

Date: Thu, Apr 3, 2025 at 12:12 PM

Subject: Re: Second Formal Demand for Reunification of My Children

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]

Mia Dabney -DHS- Prince Georges County <mia.dabney1@maryland.gov>

To: Tiffany Lewis <rigel.energyandrenewables@gmail.com>

Cc: Tylea Pressley -DHS- Prince Georges County <tylea.pressley@maryland.gov>

Thu, Apr 3, 2025 at 12:24 PM

Received, and understood. We will move forward with court involvement.

[Quoted text hidden]

Tiffany Lewis <rigel.energyandrenewables@gmail.com>
To: Catrina Gaddy <gaddycatrina@gmail.com>

Thu, Apr 3, 2025 at 12:28 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Mia Dabney -DHS- Prince Georges County** <mia.dabney1@maryland.gov>

Date: Thu, Apr 3, 2025 at 12:24 PM

Subject: Re: Second Formal Demand for Reunification of My Children

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]

Tiffany Lewis <rigel.energyandrenewables@gmail.com>

Thu, Apr 3, 2025 at 1:17 PM

To: Mia Dabney -DHS- Prince Georges County <mia.dabney1@maryland.gov>

Cc: Tylea Pressley -DHS- Prince Georges County <tylea.pressley@maryland.gov>

Subject: Request for Internal Case Escalation and Oversight Review

Dear Ms. Dabney,

Thank you for your acknowledgment confirming the Department's intention to proceed with court involvement.

In addition to this next step, I am formally requesting that my case be escalated within the Department for internal review. Given the extensive procedural concerns, delays, and legal inconsistencies that have occurred to date, I believe it is both necessary and appropriate that a higher level of oversight be applied before this matter advances further.

This includes a full administrative review of:

- The Department's handling of my compliance efforts;
- The communications and decisions made regarding mental health treatment requirements;
- The lack of timely response to formal requests for escalation and court resolution;
- The Department's adherence (or failure to adhere) to its legal obligations under Maryland Code, Family Law § 5-525 and relevant due process protections under the Fourteenth Amendment.

Please confirm receipt of this request and provide the name and contact information of the individual or unit within the Department responsible for overseeing escalated matters.

This request is submitted in good faith and will be documented as part of the evidentiary record for any future proceedings.

Respectfully,

Tiffany Lewis

[Quoted text hidden]

Tylea Pressley -DHS- Prince Georges County <tylea.pressley@maryland.gov>
To: Tiffany Lewis <rigel.energyandrenewables@gmail.com>
Cc: Mia Dabney -DHS- Prince Georges County <mia.dabney1@maryland.gov>

Wed, Apr 9, 2025 at 11:43 AM

Good Morning Ms. Lewis,

I want to advise you that the arraignment hearing is scheduled for April 22nd at 9:30am. I am uncertain if the hearing will be in person or virtual at this time. Once I have that information I will be sure to let you know.

Thank you,

Tylea Pressley, LMSW
Child Protective Services Investigator
Prince George's County Department of Social Services
925 Brightseat Road
Landover, MD 20785
Cell-(301) 385-6687
Fax-(301) 909-2200

[Quoted text hidden]

Tiffany Lewis <rigel.energyandrenewables@gmail.com>
To: Tylea Pressley -DHS- Prince Georges County <tylea.pressley@maryland.gov>
Cc: Mia Dabney -DHS- Prince Georges County <mia.dabney1@maryland.gov>

Wed, Apr 9, 2025 at 12:02 PM

Subject: Formal Notice Regarding Departmental Misconduct and Pending Complaint

Dear Ms. Dabney,

Thank you for informing me of the upcoming arraignment hearing scheduled for April 22nd at 9:30 AM. I will await confirmation regarding whether the hearing will be held virtually or in person.

That said, I must again express serious concern regarding the Department's continued disregard of my prior, formal request for internal escalation and oversight review of my case. Despite clearly stated concerns about procedural misconduct, medical overreach, and administrative delay—each of which warrants immediate review—the Department has failed to acknowledge or act upon this request.

This continued pattern raises further red flags and only strengthens the appearance of administrative avoidance, lack of transparency, and a possible attempt to circumvent accountability before judicial proceedings begin.

As a result, and pursuant to my right as a Maryland resident seeking lawful and ethical treatment by state agencies, I will be filing a formal complaint with the **Office of the Inspector General (OIG)** within the Maryland Department of Human Services. This complaint will document:

- The Department's failure to escalate this matter despite multiple requests;
- Unlawful or coercive conduct relating to medical and psychological directives;
- Delays and obstructions that have prolonged family separation without due process.

Please note that this action does not replace my intent to proceed with full legal oversight via the court system, but rather complements it to ensure full accountability at all levels of review.

Respectfully,

Tiffany Lewis

[Quoted text hidden]

Tiffany Lewis <rigel.energyandrenewables@gmail.com>

Wed, Apr 9, 2025 at 12:11 PM

To: Tylea Pressley -DHS- Prince Georges County <tylea.pressley@maryland.gov>
Cc: Mia Dabney -DHS- Prince Georges County <mia.dabney1@maryland.gov>

Subject: Correction Regarding Prior Email and Request for Case Information

Dear Ms. Pressley,

I am writing to acknowledge and correct a detail in my previous correspondence. My recent email regarding the Department's continued procedural concerns and notice of a pending complaint with the Office of the Inspector General was incorrectly addressed to Ms. Dabney.

Please note that while the name was misstated, the substance of the message and the concerns outlined remain fully applicable and directed to your attention.

Additionally, I respectfully request the following information regarding the pending case:

- The official case number or docket reference;
- The assigned caseworker or attorney of record (if applicable);
- Any updated documentation or filings related to the upcoming hearing on April 22nd.

Thank you for your understanding, and I will await both your confirmation of the requested information and any updates regarding the arraignment hearing.

Respectfully,

Tiffany Lewis

[Quoted text hidden]